

CS422 Principles of Database Systems
Functional Dependency

Chengyu Sun
California State University, Los Angeles

*Adapted from Jeffrey Ullman's lecture notes at
<http://www-db.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html>*

Functional Dependency (FD)

- ◆ A functional dependency on relation R is the assertion that when two tuples agree on attributes $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$, they must also agree on attribute B.
- ◆ $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow B$, or $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ *functionally determine* B

FD Example

name	addr	beersLiked	manf	favBeer
Janeway	Voyager	Bud	A.B.	WickedAle
Janeway	Voyager	WickedAle	Pete's	WickedAle
Spock	Enterprise	Bud	A.B.	Bud

Drinkers

- ◆ Functional dependencies??

FD with Multiple Attributes

$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow B_1$$

$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow B_2$$

...

$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow B_m$$



$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_m\}$$



$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$

Trivial Functional Dependency

$$\text{FD: } \{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow \{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_m\}$$

- ◆ FD is trivial if all B's are in **A**
- ◆ FD is nontrivial if at least one B is not in **A**
- ◆ FD is completely nontrivial if no B is in **A**

Key

- ◆ **A** is a key of relation R if
 - **A** functionally determines all attributes of R
 - No proper subset of **A** functionally determines all attributes of R

Key Example

name	addr	beersLiked	manf	favBeer
Janeway	Voyager	Bud	A. B.	WickedAle
Janeway	Voyager	WickedAle	Pete's	WickedAle
Spock	Enterprise	Bud	A. B.	Bud

Drinkers

◆Key??

A Few Things about Keys

- ◆A relation may have multiple keys
- ◆A key may consist of multiple attributes
- ◆Superset of a key is called a super key
- ◆A key has to be *minimal*, but not necessarily *minimum*
- ◆The definition doesn't say anything about *uniqueness*

Discovering Keys

- ◆Obvious ones
 - SSN, VIN, CIN ...
- ◆Less obvious ones
 - {hour, room} → class
 - {playerID, year} → team
- ◆Keys from ER
- ◆Infer from functional dependencies

From FD to Keys

R(A, B, C, D, E)
 FD: A → B, B → C
 ??
 ↓
 {??} → {A, B, C, D, E}

Armstrong's Axioms

Reflexivity

If $B \subseteq A$, then $A \rightarrow B$

Transitivity

If $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow C$, then $A \rightarrow C$

Augmentation

If $A \rightarrow B$, then $AC \rightarrow BC$ for any C

Why Transitivity Works

Let (a_1, b_1, c_1) and (a_2, b_2, c_2) be two tuples in R.

If $a_1 = a_2$,

??

So $c_1 = c_2$, and by definition of FD, $A \rightarrow C$

Two More FD Rules

Union

If $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$, then $A \rightarrow BC$

Decomposition

If $A \rightarrow BC$, then $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$

Closure of Attributes

◆ Given

- a set of attributes A
- a set of functional dependencies S

◆ Closure of A under S , A^+ , is the set of all possible attributes that are functionally determined by A based on the functional dependencies inferable from S

Simple Closure Example

- ◆ $R: \{A, B, C\}$
 - $S: \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$
- ◆ $\{A\}^+ ??$
- ◆ $\{B\}^+ ??$
- ◆ $\{C\}^+ ??$

Computing A^+

- ◆ Initialize $A^+ = A$
- ◆ Search in S for $B \rightarrow C$ where
 - $B \subseteq A^+$
 - $C \notin A^+$
- ◆ Add C to A^+
- ◆ Repeat until nothing can be added to A^+

Computing A^+ Example

- ◆ $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$
- ◆ $S: AB \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow AD, D \rightarrow E, CF \rightarrow B$
- ◆ $\{A, B\}^+ ??$
- ◆ Is $\{A, B\}$ a key ??

Projection

- ◆ We often want to break one relation into two or more relations
 - E.g. breaks (A, B, C, D) into (A, B, C) and (C, D)
- ◆ The resulting relations can be considered as *projections* of the original relation

Compute Functional Dependencies for Projections

◆ $R(A, B, C, D)$

◆ $R'(A, C, D)$

◆ $S: A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D$

◆ *Closure of Functional Dependencies*

◆ $A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow D$: *basis*

◆ $A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D$: *minimal basis*